arXiv:math/0507340v1 [math.DG] 16 Jul 2005

Pin^c and Lipschitz structures on products of manifolds

Marcin Bobieński
Dept of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Warsaw University
Hoża 74, 00682 Warszawa, Poland
(E-mail: mbobi@mimuw.edu.pl)

Andrzej Trautman
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University
Hoża 69, 00681 Warszawa, Poland
(E-mail: Andrzej.Trautman@fuw.edu.pl)

Abstract. The topological condition for the existence of a pin^c structure on the product of two Riemannian manifolds is derived and applied to construct examples of manifolds having the weaker Lipschitz structure, but no pin^c structure. An example of a five-dimensional manifold with this property is given; it is pointed out that there are no manifolds of lower dimension with this property.

Keywords: Spin, pin^c and Lipschitz structures, topological obstructions, structures on products

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary: 53C27, 57R15; Secondary: 81R25, 83C60.

1. Introduction

There are two main approaches to complex spinors on Riemannian manifolds that are not necessarily orientable. The first assumes the existence of a pin^c structure, defined as a reduction of the bundle of all orthonormal frames of a Riemannian manifold M of dimension m to the group $\mathrm{Pin}^c(m)$. The consideration of a pin^c, rather than pin, structure is motivated, in part, by the need of physics to describe *charged* fermions. The insistence on pin, rather than spin, structures is also influenced by physics where it is necessary to consider transformations of spinor fields under reflections. Spin^c structures appear in the theory of the Seiberg–Witten invariants. The second approach is based on the notion of complex *spinor bundles*. If m is even, then these two aproaches are equivalent: the spinor bundle is associated with a pin^c structure. If m is odd and M is not orientable, then a spinor bundle is associated with a weaker *Lipschitz structure*, a notion introduced by Friedrich and Trautman [5]; it is recalled here in Section 2.

In every mathematical category it is of interest to know for which pairs of objects a product is defined. For example, there is a natural,

Submitted to the *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*

direct product of every pair of Riemannian manifolds. If both factors have a spin structure ('are spin'), then their product is also spin. The product of two Riemannian manifolds has a pin structure if, and only if, both factors are pin and at least one of them is orientable [2].

In this paper we consider the question of existence of a pin^c structure on the product of two closed (i.e. compact without boundary) Riemannian manifolds. The main result consists in the proof, in Section 3, of the following

Theorem 1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be closed Riemannian manifolds. The Riemannian manifold $M_1 \times M_2$ has a pin^c structure if, and only if, both M_1 and M_2 have a pin^c structure and there holds one of the following conditions:*
(i) *one of the manifolds is orientable,*
(ii) *the first Stiefel–Whitney classes of both manifolds have integral lifts, i.e. there exist elements $c_i \in \mathrm{H}(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$, $i = 1, 2$, such that*

$$w_1(TM_i) \equiv c_i \bmod 2 \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

To make the paper self-contained, we recall in Section 2 some results on Lipschitz structures proved in [5]. In Section 4, Theorem 1 is used to construct examples of manifolds with a Lipschitz structure that do not admit a pin^c structure. Among them is a manifold of dimension 5, this being the lowest dimension for which this phenomenon can occur.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and terminology

We use the standard notation and terminology, mainly the one of [4], [5] and [8]. For the convenience of the reader, some of the relevant definitions are presented below. The ring of integers modulo m, where $1 < m \in \mathbb{N}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/m$; in particular, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the two-element field. A real, finite-dimensional vector space V with a positive-definite quadratic form is referred to as a *Euclidean space*; the orthogonal group of its automorphisms is $\mathrm{O}(V)$. The dual of a finite-dimensional vector space S over $\mathbb{C}$ is $S^* = \mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathbb{C})$ and $S \times S^* \ni (\varphi, \omega) \mapsto \langle \varphi, \omega \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is the evaluation map. The vector space of all semi-linear maps from S^* to $\mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\bar{S}$; there is a semi-linear map $S \to \bar{S}$, $\varphi \mapsto \bar{\varphi}$, defined by $\langle \omega, \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \overline{\langle \varphi, \omega \rangle}$ for every $\omega \in S^*$. The vector spaces $\mathrm{Hom}(\bar{S}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}(S, \mathbb{C})}$ can be identified and are denoted by $\bar{S}^*$. All manifolds and maps among them are assumed to be smooth; TM denotes the total space of the tangent bundle of the manifold M. The trivial bundle $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is denoted by θ^k.

2.2. Results from topology

Our main references for algebraic topology are [6] and [9]. The standard notation for the homology and cohomology groups is used; the ith Stiefel–Whitney class of a vector bundle $E \to M$ is denoted by $w_i(E)$.

2.2.1. *Obstructions to existence of spinor structures*

Recall that $w_1(TM) = 0$ is equivalent to the orientability of M. Depending on whether the group Pin is defined in terms of a Clifford algebra based on a quadratic form that is positive- or negative-definite, one has the notion of a pin^+ or pin^- structure. A Riemannian manifold M has a pin^+ (resp., pin^-) structure if, and only if, $w_2(TM) = 0$ (resp., $w_2(TM)+w_1(TM)^2 = 0$); it has a pin^c structure if, and only if, $w_2(TM)$ is the mod 2 reduction of an integral cohomology class [4, 8].

2.2.2. *The Universal Coefficient Theorems*

These theorems relate homology and cohomology groups with different coefficients. Let R be a ring; there are natural short exact sequences:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_n(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes R \to \mathrm{H}_n(X,R) \to \mathrm{Tor}(\mathrm{H}_{n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}),R) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}(\mathrm{H}_{n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}),R) \to \mathrm{H}^n(X,R) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}_n(X,\mathbb{Z}),R) \to 0.$$

When R is a field, then

$$\mathrm{H}^n(X,R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}_n(X,R),R).$$

2.3. Pin^c and Lipschitz groups

2.3.1. *Clifford algebras and spinor representations*

Let V be a Euclidean, m-dimensional space, and let $\mathrm{Cl}(V)$ be its Clifford algebra. We put $\mathrm{Cl}^c(V) = \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{Cl}(V)$. A volume element $\eta \in \mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ associated with V is defined as the product of a sequence of m pairwise orthogonal vectors and normalized so that $\eta^2 = 1$; if η is a volume element, then so is $-\eta$. There is a canonical antiautomorphism $a \mapsto a^\dagger$ of $\mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ defined as an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism of the vector structure such that $a^\dagger = \bar{a}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$, $v^\dagger = v$ for $v \in V \subset \mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ and $(ab)^\dagger = b^\dagger a^\dagger$ for every a and $b \in \mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$. The antiautomorphism defines a unitary group

$$\mathrm{U}(V) = \{a \in \mathrm{Cl}^c(V) \mid a^\dagger a = 1\}.$$

Assume from now on that V is of odd dimension $2n-1$, $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, define W to be the *orthogonal* sum $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and denote by $u \in W$ a

unit vector orthogonal to V. Since the dimension $2n$ of W is even, the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$ is simple and there is a complex, 2^n-dimensional vector space S and an isomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathrm{Cl}^c(W) \to \mathrm{End}S \tag{1}$$

of complex algebras with units, i.e., a faithful and irreducible 'Dirac representation' of the Clifford algebra in S. The map $a \mapsto \overline{\gamma(a^\dagger)^*} \in \mathrm{End}\bar{S}^*$ is also a faithful irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$; the simplicity of the algebra implies that they are equivalent: there is an isomorphism $\Phi : \bar{S} \to S^*$ such that $\overline{\gamma(a^\dagger)} = \Phi^{-1}\gamma(a)^*\Phi$. By rescaling, Φ can be made to satisfy $\Phi^* = \bar{\Phi}$; the Hermitean form

$$(\varphi|\psi) = \langle\varphi, \Phi\bar{\psi}\rangle, \quad \varphi, \psi \in S, \tag{2}$$

is positive and invariant with respect to the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(W)$ in S, $(\gamma(a)\varphi|\gamma(a)\varphi) = (\varphi|\varphi)$ for every $a \in \mathrm{U}(W)$ and $\varphi \in S$. The scalar product (2) is used to define the adjoint $f^\dagger$ of $f \in \mathrm{End}S$ so that $\gamma(a^\dagger) = \gamma(a)^\dagger$ for every $a \in \mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$.

The restriction of (1) to $\mathrm{Cl}^c(V) \subset \mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$ is a faithful, but reducible, representation of $\mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ in S: if η is the volume element associated with V, then the subspaces

$$S_\pm = \{\varphi \in S \mid \gamma(\eta)\varphi = \pm\varphi\} \tag{3}$$

are invariant for this restriction and $\gamma|\mathrm{Cl}^c(V) = \sigma_+ \oplus \sigma_-$. The 'Pauli representations' σ_+ and σ_- of $\mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ in S_+ and S_-, respectively, are irreducible and inequivalent, but not faithful. For the purposes of this paper, it is convenient to refer to γ and $\gamma|\mathrm{Cl}^c(V)$ as the *spinor representations* of the algebras in question.

The notation is chosen so that, taking into account that γ is an isomorphism, one can *identify* $\mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$ with $\mathrm{End}S$ and this is done in the sequel.

2.3.2. *Pin^c groups*

The group $\mathrm{Pin}(W) \subset \mathrm{Cl}(W)$ is generated by the set of all unit elements of W and $\mathrm{Pin}^c(W) \subset \mathrm{Cl}^c(W)$ is generated by $\mathrm{Pin}(W)$ and U_1. The adjoint representation of $\mathrm{Pin}^c(W)$ in W is given by $\mathrm{Ad}(a)v = ava^{-1}$. Similar definitions apply to the groups $\mathrm{Pin}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Pin}^c(V)$.

The dimension of W being even, there is the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{U}_1 \to \mathrm{Pin}^c(W) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{O}(W) \to 1. \tag{4}$$

The volume elements of the odd-dimensional space V are in the kernel of Ad and the image of $\mathrm{Pin}^c(V)$ by Ad is the special orthogonal group

$\mathrm{SO}(V)$. To describe spinors on a nonorientable manifold, one needs a sequence like (4), with the full orthogonal group as the image of Ad. In odd dimensions, this can be achieved by either using, instead of Ad, the *twisted adjoint representation* [1] or extending the group $\mathrm{Pin}^c(V)$ to a larger *Lipschitz group*. Only the latter approach is suitable when one considers spinor bundles as the primitive notion.

2.3.3. *The Lipschitz group*
In the notation of Section 2.3.1, the Lipschitz group, associated with the odd-dimensional Euclidean space $V \subset W$, is

$$\mathrm{Lpin}(V) = \{a \in \mathrm{GL}(S) \mid a^\dagger a = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad aVa^{-1} = V\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{Pin}^c(V)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Lpin}(V)$ and the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{Lpin}(V) \to \mathrm{O}(V)$ is surjective because $u \in \mathrm{Lpin}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(u) = -\mathrm{id}_V$. The kernel of Ad is the subgroup

$$\{\tfrac{1}{2}(1+\eta)z_+ + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-\eta)z_- \mid z_+, z_- \in \mathrm{U}_1\} \cong \mathrm{U}_1 \times \mathrm{U}_1$$

so that there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{U}_1 \times \mathrm{U}_1 \to \mathrm{Lpin}(V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{O}(V) \to 1.$$

2.4. Spinor bundles and Lipschitz structures

2.4.1. *Spinor structures*
Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension m; let V be a Euclidean space of dimension m and denote by P the $\mathrm{O}(V)$-bundle of orthonormal frames on M. Let us agree to say that G is a *spinor group* if it contains $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$ as a subgroup and there is homomorphism $\rho : G \to \mathrm{O}(V)$ such that $\rho(\mathrm{Spin}(V)) = \mathrm{SO}(V)$. A *spinor structure* of type (G, ρ) on M is a reduction Q of P to a spinor group G characterized by the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & Q & & \\ {\scriptstyle\rho}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\chi} & & \\ \mathrm{O}(V) & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & M \end{array} \tag{5}$$

such that $\chi(qa) = \chi(q)\rho(a)$ for $q \in Q$ and $a \in G$.

2.4.2. *Spinor bundles*
One defines the complex Clifford bundle associated with a Riemannian manifold M as

$$\mathrm{Cl}^c(TM) = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathrm{Cl}^c(T_x M) \to M.$$

A complex vector bundle $\Sigma \to M$, with a homomorphism

$$\tau : \mathrm{Cl}^c(TM) \to \mathrm{End}\,\Sigma$$

of bundles of algebras over M, is said to be a bundle of Clifford modules. In particular, a *spinor bundle* is a bundle of Clifford modules such that the restriction of τ to every fibre is a spinor representation in the sense of Section 2.3.1.

A spinor bundle Σ on an odd-dimensional manifold is said to be *decomposable* if there is a non-trivial vector bundle decomposition $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \oplus \Sigma_-$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{Cl}^c(TM))\Sigma_\pm \subset \Sigma_\pm$. In [5] it is shown that there holds:

Proposition 1. *A spinor bundle on an odd-dimensional Riemannian manifold M is decomposable if, and only if, M is orientable.*

Note that, for every $x \in M$, there is a decomposition $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_{x+} \oplus \Sigma_{x-}$, as in (3), and $\tau(\mathrm{Cl}^c(T_xM))\Sigma_{x\pm} \subset \Sigma_{x\pm}$, but a *global* decomposition holds only in the orientable case.

Since, by assumption, M is paracompact (even compact), there is a Hermitean scalar product on the fibres of $\Sigma \to M$,

$$(.\mid .)_\Sigma : \Sigma \times_M \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}.$$

2.4.3. *Lipschitz structures*
Let M and V be a Riemannian manifold and a Euclidean space, both of odd dimension m, respectively. A *Lipschitz structure* on M is defined as a spinor structure (5) of type $(\mathrm{Lpin}(V), \mathrm{Ad})$. A spinor bundle $\Sigma \to M$ with a Hermitean scalar product defines, and is associated with, a Lipschitz structure such that the fibre Q_x, $x \in M$, consists of all isomorphisms $q : S \to \Sigma_x$ satisfying $qVq^{-1} = \tau(T_xM)$ and $(q\varphi|q\varphi)_\Sigma = (\varphi|\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in S$. The action of $\mathrm{Lpin}(V)$ on Q is by composition of maps.

Proposition 2. *An odd-dimensional manifold M admits a Lipschitz structure if, and only if, there is a vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^2 \to E \to M$ and $c \in \mathrm{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$w_2(TM) + w_2(E) \equiv c \bmod 2. \tag{6}$$

A proof of this proposition is in [5].

3. Proof of the Theorem

Throughout the rest of the paper, M_1 and M_2 are closed Riemannian manifolds. By virtue of the Künneth theorem [6], every element $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(M_1 \times M_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ admits a decomposition

$$\alpha = \alpha_{20} + \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{02}, \quad \alpha_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^i(M_1, \mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(M_2, \mathbb{Z}/2). \tag{7}$$

Lemma 1. *An element $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(M_1 \times M_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, decomposed as in* (7)*, is the* mod 2 *reduction of $c \in \mathrm{H}^2(M_1 \times M_2, \mathbb{Z})$ if, and only if, there are elements $c_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^i(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(M_2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha_{ij} \equiv c_{ij}$* mod 2*.*

Proof. From the second sequence in Section 2.2.2 and the fact that $\mathrm{H}_0(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is free there follows

$$\mathrm{H}^1(M, R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), R). \tag{8}$$

Therefore, the groups $\mathrm{H}^i(M, \mathbb{Z})$ are free for $i = 0$ and 1; the Künneth theorem applied to c gives

$$c = c_{20} + c_{11} + c_{02}, \quad c_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^i(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(M_2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

To complete the proof, one reduces both sides of the last equality modulo 2 and notes that such a reduction respects the decomposition and acts on each summand separately. □

The Whitney formula gives

$$w_2(T(M_1 \times M_2)) = w_2(TM_1) \otimes 1 + w_1(TM_1) \otimes w_1(TM_2) + 1 \otimes w_2(TM_2).$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the last equation one obtains that a *necessary* condition for the existence of a pin^c structure on $M_1 \times M_2$ is that both M_1 and M_2 have pin^c structures. The condition becomes *sufficient* if, in addition, there holds:

$$\alpha_{11} = w_1(TM_1) \otimes w_1(TM_2) \quad \textit{is the } \mathrm{mod}\ 2 \textit{ reduction of an element } \quad c_{11} \in \mathrm{H}^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{9}$$

To complete the *proof of the Theorem*, one has to show that the alternative '(i) or (ii)' is equivalent to (9). Part (i) is easy: one of the manifolds under consideration is orientable, if and only if, one can take $c_{11} = 0$ in (9). Assume now that (i) does not hold so that $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$. Since our manifolds are closed, their homology and cohomology groups are finitely generated,

$$\mathrm{H}_1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{p_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{p_s} \oplus T_1, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathrm{H}_1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^l \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{q_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{q_t} \oplus T_2, \tag{11}$$

where T_i is the torsion of $\mathrm{H}_1(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$ other than the 2-torsion part so that $T_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2 = 0$. Using (8) one can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}) &= \quad \mathbb{Z}^k && \text{with basis } (a_1, \dots, a_k),\\
\mathrm{H}^1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}) &= \quad \mathbb{Z}^l && \text{with basis } (b_1, \dots, b_l),\\
\mathrm{H}^1(M_1, \mathbb{Z}/2) &= \mathbb{Z}/2^k \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^s && \text{with basis } (a'_1, \dots, a'_k, c'_1, \dots, c'_s),\\
\mathrm{H}^1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}/2) &= \mathbb{Z}/2^l \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^t && \text{with basis } (b'_1, \dots, b'_l, d'_1, \dots, d'_t),
\end{aligned}$$

where a'_i and b'_i is the reduction mod 2 of a_i and b_i, respectively. The classes $w_1(TM_1)$ and $w_1(TM_2)$ can be decomposed with respect to the above bases and one sees that condition (9) is satisfied if, and only if, $w_1(TM_1)$ and $w_1(TM_2)$ do not contain summands with c'_i and d'_i, respectively. □

4. Examples

4.1. Products of pin^c manifolds

Example 1. Recall that the real projective space $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, has a pin structure [3]; therefore, *a fortiori*, it has a pin^c structure. If $M_1 = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2k}$ and $M_2 = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2l}$, where k and l are positive integers, then $M_1 \times M_2$ has no pin^c structure.

Proof. Indeed, $w_1(TM_1) \otimes w_1(TM_2) \neq 0$ cannot be the reduction of an integral cohomology element because $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $n \geqslant 2$. □

Example 2. For every integer $k \geqslant 5$ we now construct a non-orientable manifold M_k of dimension k so that $M_k \times M_k$ has a pin^c structure. Let B be the two-dimensional Möbius band and let D_k be the unit ball of dimension k so that its boundary is $\mathbb{S}_{k-1}$. The manifold M_k is defined as the sum of two manifolds, glued along their common boundary,

$$M_k = (B \times \mathbb{S}_{k-2}) \cup_{\mathbb{S}_1 \times \mathbb{S}_{k-2}} (\mathbb{S}_1 \times D_{k-1}).$$

The non-orientability of B implies that of M_k. One easily finds

$$\mathrm{H}_0(M_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathrm{H}_1(M_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathrm{H}_2(M_k, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geqslant 5.$$

These groups are free and so are the groups $\mathrm{H}_i(M_k \times M_k, \mathbb{Z})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. This implies that all elements of $\mathrm{H}^2(M_k \times M_k, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ have an integral lift; therefore, the manifold $M_k \times M_k$ has a pin^c structure, but, being a product of two non-orientable manifolds, it has no pin structure.

4.2. Lipschitz manifolds without pin^c structure

In this section, we construct a series of examples of manifolds with a Lipschitz structure and without pin^c structure. Following a remark in [7], we show that every three-dimensional manifold is pin$^-$. We construct examples of five-dimensional manifolds with a Lipschitz structure and without pin^c structure.

Example 3. From Example 1, it follows that $M = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_2$ has no pin^c structure. Therefore, the same is true of the five-dimensional manifold $N = M \times \mathbb{S}_1$. We now construct a vector bundle $E \to N$, with fibres of real dimension 2, such that $TN \oplus E$ is a trivial. The embedding $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_2 \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_3 \cong \mathrm{SO}_3$ induces on $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_2$ a trivial bundle so that there is a line bundle l such that $T\,\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_2 \oplus l$ is trivial. One puts $E = l \oplus l$. From the Whitney product theorem one obtains $w_1(E) = w_1(TN)$ and

$$w_2(TN) + w_2(E) = w_1(TN)^2.$$

Since, for every manifold M, $w_1(TM)^2$ is the reduction mod 2 of an integral element (see, e.g., Section 6 in [5]), the criterion (6) for the existence of a Lipschitz structure on N is satisfied.

If M is a *three*-dimensional manifold, then Wu's formula (see §11 in [9]) gives

$$w_1(TM) = \mathrm{Sq}^0(v_1) + \mathrm{Sq}^1(v_0) = v_1 \tag{12}$$

$$w_2(TM) = \mathrm{Sq}^0(v_2) + \mathrm{Sq}^1(v_1) + \mathrm{Sq}^2(v_0) = v_2 + (v_1)^2 \tag{13}$$

$$v_2 = 0. \tag{14}$$

Here $v_i \in \mathrm{H}^i(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the ith Wu class of M characterized by

$$v_i \cup w = \mathrm{Sq}^i(w) \text{ for every } w \in \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

so that $v_i = 0$ for $i > 3 - i$ implying (14). Equations (12)-(14) give now $w_2(TM) = w_1(TM)^2$; this shows that every three-dimensional manifold has pin$^-$ structure; therefore, *a fortiori*, a pin^c structure (Section 2.2.1). As a consequence, five is the smallest dimension of a manifold admitting a Lipschitz structure, but no pin^c structure.

Example 3 can be generalized; it suffices to note that the example is based on two facts: $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_3$ is parallelizable and $T\mathbb{S}_1$ is stably trivial (even trivial). The projective space $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_7$ is also parallelizable and there are many manifolds with a stable trivial tangent bundle (Lie groups, spheres, orientable three-dimensional manifolds and products of these manifolds). This leads to the following example:

Example 4. If M is $(2n+1)$-dimensional and such that

$$TM \oplus \theta^{2(k+l+1)} \cong \theta^{2(k+l+n+1)+1} \quad \text{where} \quad k, l \in \{1, 3\},$$

then the manifold

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2k} \times \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2l} \times M \tag{15}$$

has a Lipschitz structure, but no pin^c structure. Indeed, denoting by l_i the normal line bundle for the embedding $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2i} \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2i+1}$, one can take

$$E = l_k \oplus l_l.$$

One easily checks that

$$T(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2k} \times \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}_{2l} \times M) \oplus l_k \oplus l_l \cong TM \oplus \theta^{2(k+l+1)} \cong \theta^{2(k+l+m+1)+1}.$$

and so the manifold (15) has the desired property.

Acknowledgments

One of us (A.T.) is grateful to Boris Dubrovin for a discussion in Trieste on how to solve the problem of existence of pin^c structures on products of manifolds. This research was supported in part by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) under grant 2 P03B 060 17.

References

1. Atiyah, M. F., Bott, R. and Shapiro, A.: Clifford modules, *Topology* **3**, Suppl. 1 (1964) 3–38.
2. Cahen, M., Gutt, S. and Trautman, A.: Pin structures and the modified Dirac operator, *J. Geom. Phys.* **17** (1995) 283–297.
3. Dąbrowski, L. and Trautman, A.: Spinor structures on spheres and projective spaces, *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 2022–2028.
4. Friedrich, Th.: *Dirac Operators in Riemannian Geometry*, Grad. Studies in Math. Vol. 25, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. 2000.
5. Friedrich, Th. and Trautman, A.: Spin spaces, Lipschitz groups, and spinor bundles, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **18** (2000) 221–240.
6. Greenberg, M. J. and Harper, J. R.: *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*, Math. Lecture Note Series, Reading, MA: Benjamin 1981.
7. Kirby, R. C. and Taylor, L. R.: Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds, pp. 177–242 in *Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds* vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series Vol. 151, Cambridge: Cambridge U. P. 1990.
8. Lawson, Jr, H. B. and Michelsohn, M. L.: *Spin Geometry*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton U. P. 1989.
9. Milnor, J. W. and Stasheff, J. D.: *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Math. Studies No. 76, Princeton, NJ: Princeton U. P. 1974.